

Letters to the Editor

Revenue-neutral carbon fee is good federal policy

06/12/13 at 11:50am by Letters Editor

2 Comments

Tweet 1

Like 31

1

Regarding "Levees, removable walls proposed to protect NYC" (June 11): The biggest city in the nation is finally taking the biggest threat to the existence of humanity in the history of humanity seriously at the scale and scope that the issue deserves.

The four to seven degree temperature increase by the year 2050 mentioned in this article is the exact same temperature increase predicted by climate scientists in our neighboring state of Wisconsin. You do not have to be a climate scientist or even a rocket scientist to understand that if New York and Wisconsin are predicting a four to seven degree temperature increase from climate change by the year 2050, Ohio will be experiencing a similar temperature increase on a similar time scale.

It is time for the most powerful city in the nation to follow the path set by the largest city in the nation. It is time for Washington DC and our federal government to become as equally serious about climate change as the city of New York. In fact, just last night the conservative R Street Institute in Washington DC hosted a debate titled: "Should Conservatives Support a Carbon Tax?"

There are currently two bills in Congress in both Houses that address climate change using a carbon tax, in part. As the co-director of the Cincinnati Chapter of Citizens Climate Lobby, I believe a revenue neutral carbon fee and dividend, rather than a carbon tax, is the most appropriate federal

e Lobby will have a national conference in
ur Congressmembers on the difference between

Enjoy a limited number of
articles over the next 30 days.

[Subscribe today for full access](#)

[Current subscriber? Activate your account](#)

Posted in: [business](#), [economy](#), [environment](#), [government](#), [Greater Cincinnati](#), [National](#), [Northern Kentucky](#), [Ohio](#)

Tags: [climate change](#), [congress](#), [Norwood](#), [revenue-neutral carbon fee](#)



Add a comment...

 Post to FacebookPosting as Lori Sanders ([Change](#))[Comment](#)**Tim O'toole** · [Follow](#) · Top Commenter

temps to rise four to seven degrees by 2050. Wow!!!
 You need to research predictions made in the 1960s about the world in 2000.

[Reply](#) · 3 · Like · [Follow Post](#) · June 12 at 8:32pm**Jeff Cobb** · [Follow](#) · Top Commenter · Cincinnati, Ohio

Our understanding of climate systems, and supercomputers for conducting math based predictions about our climate have obviously advanced since then. You need to research the present state of those, before embarrassing yourself so severely any further.

[Reply](#) · 2 · Like · June 12 at 9:18pm**Tim O'toole** · [Follow](#) · Top Commenter

.....and just maybe you need something to occupy your time (a girlfriend???)

[Reply](#) · 1 · Like · June 12 at 9:29pm**Raul O'Brien** · Top Commenter · Xavier University

Tim O'toole Your adolescent comeback is appropriate since Jeff Cobb just sent you back to middle school. But way to refute, Timmy! Way to refute!

[Reply](#) · 2 · Like · June 12 at 9:56pm[View 2 more](#)**Tim O'toole** · [Follow](#) · Top Commenter<http://www.examiner.com/article/paul-ehrlich-s-population-bomb-predictions-bombed><http://godfatherpolitics.com/10516/population-bomb-scientist-predicts-the-end-of-humanity/><http://www.post-gazette.com/stories/opinion/jack-kelly/fretting-liberals-the-population-bomb-is-a-bust-but-they-still-dont-get-it-51958/>http://www.wnho.net/global_warming.htm[Reply](#) · 1 · Like · [Follow Post](#) · June 12 at 9:38pm

Facebook social plugin

Add your comment

Guidelines: You share in this community, so please keep your comments smart and civil. Don't attack other readers personally, and keep your language decent. If a comment violates these standards or our [terms of service](#), click the "X" in the upper right corner of the comment box to report spam or abuse.

We're using Facebook Comments on articles to create a more civil environment for conversation. To find out more, please visit the [FAQ](#).

ADVERTISEMENT

GANNETT

Copyright © 2013 news.cincinnati.com. All rights reserved.

Users of this site agree to the [Terms of Service](#), [Privacy Notice/Your California Privacy Rights](#), and [Ad Choices](#)

